

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,910	09/20/2005	Takeshi Nakajima	278091US0PCT	4692
23890 7590 11/12/20099 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SZEWCZYK, CYNTHIA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/549,910	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic     A reply was received on(with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejective application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee at from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we highly a statutory in the statutory in the statutory.	85). as received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r	not been received.				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	quired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.</li> </ol>	ne attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class.</li> </ol>		e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

/Eric Hug/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791